



I. K. Press

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Global Agriculture and Ecology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Original_Manuscript_JOGAE_12155
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Enhancing Okra Yield and Quality: Synergistic Impact of Biopesticides Combined with Cypermethrin against Shoot and Fruit Borer [Earias vittella Fab.]</b>
Type of the Article	

**General guideline:**

**This journal believes that no manuscript should be rejected only based on 'lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound. Too often a journal's decision to publish a paper is dominated by what the Editor/reviewer thinks is interesting and will gain greater readership - both of which are subjective judgments and lead to decisions which are frustrating and delay the publication. This journal will rigorously peer-review your submissions and publish all papers that are judged to be technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.ikpress.org/index.php/index/editorial-guideline>)



J. K. Press

**1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? (Please write a few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions for additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>in accordance</p> <p>Complete</p> <p>in accordance</p> <p>The substance of the manuscript is interesting and scientific</p> <p>Not enough, add references used recent journals, it is recommended to use the Mendeley program.</p> <p>in accordance</p>	<p>Okay</p>
<p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>in accordance</p>	



# J. K. Press

<b>Optional</b> comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Bibliography should be checked again!</li><li>2. Some of the author names in this bibliography are not in the substance of the writing.</li><li>3. Some authors' names are in the manuscript but they are not in the bibliography.</li></ol>	
--------------------------	---	--

## **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	